

**NC Capital Area Metropolitan
Planning Organization
Meeting Minutes – Draft
Technical Coordinating Committee**

1 Fenton Main St.
Suite 201
Cary, NC 27511

Thursday, June 5, 2025

10:00 AM

Conference Room

(CLERK’S NOTE: The links to attachments for individual Agenda items could not be incorporated into these Minutes due to a technical issue. The full June 5, 2025, TCC Meeting Agenda packet is hereby incorporated within by reference. Please refer to the full Agenda packet to view any attachments.)

1. Welcome and Introductions

Present (35): Chair Tracy Stephenson, Vice Chair Kenneth Ritchie, Dallas Baker, Dylan Bruchhaus, Bradley Kimbrell, Matt Klem, Regan Buchanan, Thanh Schado, Carlton Thornton, Jay Sikes, Tim Gardiner, Akul Nishawala, Brandon Watson, Het Patel, Paul Black, Alan Shapiro, Kesha Smith, Tracy Parrott, David Keilson, Philip Hart, Bryan Kluchar, Neil Perry, Jenna Shouse, Sandi Bailey, Chris George, Erin Joseph, Chris Garcia, Jason Brown, Landon Chandler, Tanner Hayslette, Emma Linn, Ryan Eldridge-Burch, Lucy Garcia, Catherine Farrell, and Matt Day

Absent (20): Britt Davis-Haywood, Scott Hammerbacher, Braston Newton, Joseph Geigle, Anita Davis-Haywood, Than Austin, Nick Morrison, Phil Geary, Riley Stout, Michael Landguth, Travis Crayton, Jeffery Jones, Bryan Chadwick, Dorothy Taylor, Jennifer Ganser, Juliet Andes, Conrad Olmedo, Barbara Hollerand, Bret Martin, and Bo Carson

Chair Tracy Stephenson called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. Introductions were then made.

2. Adjustments to the Agenda

Chair Tracy Stephenson announced that Item #5.6, FFY 2025 FTA Section 5307, 5340, and 5339 Raleigh Urbanized Area Sub-Allocations, was deferred to the August 7, 2025, TCC meeting.

3. Public Comments

There were no public comments.

4. Minutes

4.1 Minutes - May 1, 2025

Requested Action: Approve the Minutes of May 1, 2025

There were no questions and/or comments.

Het Patel motioned to approve the May 1, 2025 TCC Meeting Minutes. Bynum Walter seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Regular Business

5.1

Complete NC 540 - Status Update

Alan Shapiro, NC Turnpike Authority Staff

Requested Action: Receive as Information

Alan Shapiro, NC Turnpike Authority, provided a status update on Complete NC 540 Phase 2, including construction teams, costs, completions, and schedules. He provided an overview of the design concepts for Projects R-2829A and R-2829B, some Project highlights, toll technology improvements and collection loop advancements, DBE participation, and gravesite avoidance and relocation efforts. He next presented an overview of the Neuse River Crossing construction activities, Safety Plan, safety precautions, and Greenway Trail precautions. He then provided information regarding bike, pedestrian, and multi-use accommodations and Phase 2 outreach efforts and shared resources for further information on the Project. He requested that members visit the NC Quick Pass table in the lobby before they leave.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

5.2

CAMPO Blueprint for Safety Plan and Final Report

Catherine Saine, VHB Staff

Requested Action: Recommend the Executive Board adopt the Blueprint for Safety Plan as included within the accompanying report.

Catherine Saine, VHB, provided an update on the Blueprint for Safety Plan and highlighted tools and strategies for implementation. She noted the increasing trend in fatalities and serious injuries and their demographics, spoke regarding the data used for analysis, planning, and identification of a High Injury Network and Intersections, and how the risk analysis can help allocate future resources. She provided an overview of the community and stakeholder engagement efforts, the Plan currently being available online for review, the data and scoping steps used to create a Safety Scoping Process and an example, and the Countermeasure Library tool. She next presented an overview of CAMPO's safety goal, the three Safety Pillars and their respective strategies, and the next steps. She also highlighted the importance of coordination with local agencies and the need for local government leadership and requested feedback and that members consider joining the regional safety committee.

Lucy Garcia expressed her praise for this Plan, and the Safety Summit, and her excitement for its implementation.

Chris Lukasina stated that there are recommendations for all agencies and that local leaders have shown interest in them.

Bradley Kimbrell expressed his excitement about the tools and noted that highlighting information on funding availability and access to resources is important.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

Lucy Garcia motioned to recommend the Executive Board adopt the Blueprint for Safety Plan as included within the accompanying report. Emma Linn seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

5.3

Recommended FY 2026 Wake Transit Work Plan and Project Groupings and Deliverables

Steven Mott, MPO Staff

Requested Action:

Recommend the Executive Board 1) Approve the FY 2026 Recommended Wake Transit Work Plan; 2) Approve the proposed project agreement groupings and deliverables; and 3) Authorize the Executive Director to execute all FY 2026 Work Plan project-level agreements to which CAMPO is a party

Mr. Mott provided an overview of the Recommended FY 2026 Wake Transit Work Plan and its budget. He noted that the Conference Committee may meet before the June 18, 2025, Board meeting and that any agreements on vehicle rental tax (VRT) reached by the Committee would supersede TPAC's recommendation to include \$2.591 million in VRT revenue in the FY 2026 Work Plan. He highlighted the community engagement efforts conducted and provided a summary of the common themes that came out of the 115+ comments received. He next presented the project agreement groupings, reporting deliverables, and key dates for the Work Plan.

Tim Gardiner asked how to frame the motion so that it addresses the VRT issue and noted the long-term implications of addressing the VRT issue year by year.

Paul Black noted that the GoTriangle Board does not want VRT included in the Work Plan. He suggested a motion that incorporates the Conference Committee's decision on VRT. He expressed uncertainty about whether the GoTriangle Board would approve the Work Plan with VRT revenues in it and re-emphasized their opposition to VRT being included in the Work Plan long-term.

Chris Lukasina offered that any motion could be made subject to the decision of the Conference Committee regarding VRT. He noted that the Conference Committee's decision would supersede TPAC's recommendation regardless.

Het Patel spoke regarding the interplay between the Conference Committee's decision and approvals by the CAMPO and GoTriangle Boards.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

Tim Gardiner motioned to recommend the Executive Board: 1) Approve the FY 2026 Recommended Wake Transit Work Plan, subject to any VRT decisions made by the Conference Committee, 2) Approve the proposed project agreement groupings and deliverables, and 3) Authorize the Executive Director to execute all FY 2026 Work Plan project-level agreements to which CAMPO is a party. Het Patel seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Black noted for the record GoTriangle's opposition to any long-term inclusion of VRT in the Work Plan.

5.4 Adoption of Revised Wake Transit Lead Agency Responsibility Matrix

Ben Howell, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Recommend approval of the revised Lead Agency Responsibility Matrix by the Executive Board.

Mr. Howell provided an overview of: Part #1) the need to revise the Wake Transit Lead Agency Responsibility Matrix and the proposed revisions and Part #2) GoTriangle's additional request to transfer their Lead Agency responsibility for program-level communications and engagement to another party beginning FY 2026.

Bradley Kimbrell inquired about the reason for shifting GoTriangle's communications and engagement responsibilities to CAMPO. Mr. Howell responded that, in the past, GoTriangle has been approved for 4.5 FTEs and that those FTEs included 1.0 FTE for a Communications Coordinator, 2.0 FTEs for program-level communications and engagement, and 1.5 FTEs specifically for project-level communications and engagement tasks. GoTriangle has decided to only keep the 1.5 project-level communications and engagement FTEs. He noted that, if CAMPO takes on the additional communications and engagement responsibilities, then an amendment to the Wake Transit Work Plan may be required to fund any additional required FTEs and other non-staff costs. He noted that funding for the FTEs and other non-staff costs has been placed in reserve.

Mr. Kimbrell asked if CAMPO can handle the additional duties and if it is feasible to do so. Mr. Howell responded that CAMPO is internally analyzing the impacts of taking on the additional responsibilities and looking at options including hiring additional staff, consultants, or both.

Chris Lukasina added that CAMPO does not have the staff, resources, or organizational structure to take on the proposed additional communication and engagement responsibilities from GoTriangle and expressed his discomfort in doing so. He also noted that there has not been a full review of the current and future communication needs at the program-level and that the level of expectations for what the Lead Agency should be doing versus what they are actually doing are not in alignment, especially for TPAC.

Responding to Het Patel, Mr. Howell stated that the scope and schedule for the Wake Transit Plan Staffing Study is currently being developed and that the Study is included in the FY 2026 Wake Transit Work Plan. He added that we are hoping to kick-off in July/August 2025 and that the Study will take approximately three to six months to complete.

Responding to Mr. Patel, Mr. Lukasina stated that the Study will be helpful in understanding how CAMPO might take on or delegate some of the additional duties. He expressed his desire to right-size expectations and have everyone be on the same page and noted that there is a sub-committee reviewing expectations.

Tim Gardiner spoke regarding CAMPO only taking over those responsibilities identified by TPAC. Mr. Lukasina responded that CAMPO Staff would still make a counter recommendation to the Executive Board and emphasized the need for a clear understanding of the expectations for the Lead Agency.

Mr. Kimbrell inquired about CAMPO facilitating more creative approaches. Mr. Lukasina replied that this could double CAMPO's Wake Transit staff. He stated that CAMPO wants to continue providing efficient service delivery, but it needs more input on what the expectations will be.

Bynum Walter asked if action was needed today and why the item was before TCC when there are conflicting recommendations. Mr. Lukasina responded that it is before TCC because TPAC made a recommendation on the matter. He confirmed that TCC could defer a decision until August.

Paul Black stated that GoTriangle has not hired staff for these tasks and, as of July 1, 2025, they will no longer be handling the responsibilities that they are requesting be transferred to CAMPO.

Ryan Eldridge-Burch noted that CAMPO was not so strongly opposed to this at the TPAC meeting and expressed his frustration that TPAC did not get this same feedback from CAMPO at that meeting.

Mr. Black commented that the program has consistently not been meeting expectations and that GoTriangle wants to step away from administration of the program. He added that GoTriangle wants to be like other bus agencies and not be doing anything special just for Wake Transit. GoTriangle wants to draw a line between tax district and bus operations.

Responding to Mr. Patel regarding the impacts of GoTriangle's FTEs sunseting on the Work Plan for the initial first few months of the fiscal year, Mr. Howell stated that Wake Transit has to follow its Community Engagement Policy and that some tasks will still need to be completed in the meantime; however, there are some tasks outside of the core products that cannot be ensured of completion, such as website maintenance.

Mr. Gardiner recommended tabling the item to allow Staff time to delineate responsibilities and expectations.

Mr. Black responded that GoTriangle would like for Part #1 of this item, the Revised Wake Transit Lead Agency Responsibility Matrix, to still move forward.

Mr. Howell clarified that the Revised Matrix does not include the Lead Agency responsibilities for program-level communications and engagement that GoTriangle is requesting be transferred to CAMPO (Part #2) and noted that many of those duties are more operational.

Tim Gardiner motioned to 1) Recommend the Executive Board approve the Revised Wake Transit Lead Agency Responsibility Matrix (Part #1); and 2) Table discussion on the transfer of GoTriangle's Lead Agency responsibility for program-level communications and engagement to CAMPO (Part #2) to the August 7, 2025, TCC meeting in order to better define the scope of duties and how they will be carried out. Paul Black seconded the motion.

Further discussion on the motion ensued as follows:

Brandon Watson asked about the interim impacts of GoTriangle not providing their communication and engagement responsibilities.

Mr. Howell responded that work on actual products and studies will continue. The impacts will mainly be related to background work and some information disseminated being more general or infrequent in nature (i.e., the website not being updated as frequently).

Mr. Black commented that GoTriangle may be able to continue some website maintenance in the interim. He will check and see if they are still funded for it.

Mr. Howell confirmed that the website will remain online for now, it may just not get updates on the Wake Transit side. He noted that CAMPO will still update its side of the website.

Het Patel noted that it might be helpful to discuss potential needs and expectations with those on TPAC that have communications and engagement FTEs.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

After discussion, the above stated motion carried unanimously.

5.5

2025 (Section 5310) Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program Project Selection

Crystal Odum, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Recommend the Executive Board hold a Public Hearing and approve the attached Program of Program for the 2025 Section 5310 Program.

Ms. Odum provided an overview of the 2025 (Section 5310) Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program, its Call for Projects, and the project selection process and recommendations. She noted that the Program requires that a minimum of 55% of the funding go towards traditional projects, such as those with capital costs, and that the Program Management Plan prohibits applicants who have not previously received federal funding from being awarded funds to purchase vehicles. She stated that, subsequent to this item last coming before TCC, re-evaluation of the GoRaleigh Access (GoR) project has occurred and has resulted in their Project no longer being categorized as a traditional project. This subsequently led the funding total for traditional projects to fall beneath the 55% threshold. She added that, in such instances, past Selection Committees have recommended taking funds from transit provider applicants who have the ability to apply for other funding types in order to reach the 55% threshold. The recommendation has since been revised to include a \$50,690 reduction in GoR's originally recommended award. She distributed a revised Grant Recommendation Summary (Attachment 1) illustrating the amended recommendations and award category totals.

Responding to Tim Gardiner, Ms. Odum confirmed that today's recommendation is the same as last month's, with just a revision for the amount of the GoR project award.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

Thanh Schado motioned to recommend the Executive Board approve the revised and distributed Program of Projects for the 2025 Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program, which includes the reduction of GoRaleigh Access's award by the \$50,690

needed to meet the 55% minimum federal share threshold for traditional project awards. Ryan Eldridge-Burch seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

5.6 FFY 2025 FTA Section 5307, 5340, and 5339 Raleigh Urbanized Area Sub-Allocations

Crystal Odum, MPO Staff

(CLERK'S NOTE: This item was deferred to the August 7, 2025, TCC meeting.)

5.7 2055 MTP/CTP Update

Chris Lukasina, MPO Executive Director

Requested Action: Receive as information. Consider action on a recommended Preferred Alternative to use in the next phase of the MTP development.

Mr. Lukasina provided an overview of the projected growth in the region and the MTP public engagement efforts and survey results. He noted that the All Together Scenario addresses all of the public comments received, but it also requires additional revenue assumptions. He provided an overview of the All Together Scenario and the major roadway and transit investments that it includes. He noted that it is the most expansive and expensive scenario and that it will require the most resources to implement. He presented a matrix of key performance measures and funding comparisons for each of the scenarios. He next presented a matrix of All Together Scenario connections to the community input and current policies. He stated that, if the All Together Scenario is adopted, CAMPO will talk more with local jurisdictions to see what they are comfortable doing. He noted this Scenario as aspirational, but doable; it's just beyond current plans.

Responding to Chris Garcia, Alex Rickard stated that we cannot prioritize bike/ped networks because that is more dependent on local funding. At this point, we just assume the total amount network we can afford to address.

Mr. Lukasina presented the next steps and noted that we are currently ahead of schedule. He stated that TCC can receive this item as information only or recommend a Preferred Alternative to the Executive Board, which would give CAMPO a month and a half head start on the fiscal constraints process.

Responding to Tim Gardiner, Mr. Lukasina confirmed that the All Together Scenario does assume more resources than forecasted. He stated that, if the Executive Board does not include those assumptions, the fiscal constraints will be affected; however, the Executive Board has done so for the past three years. He affirmed that goals will be adjusted after any adjustments to funding decisions. He noted that many additional projects were able to be completed in the current MTP because such additional revenue was included.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

Paul Black motioned to recommend the Executive Board approve the All Together Scenario as the Preferred Alternative for use in the next phase of the MTP development. Tim Gardiner seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Responding to Chair Tracy Stephenson, Mr. Lukasina confirmed that the Scenario may change as we go through the fiscal constraint process. Sometimes there are revisions up to the last moment; it depends on the additional local funds identified.

5.8 Federal Certification Review

Chris Lukasina, MPO Executive Director

Requested Action: Receive as information.

Mr. Lukasina provided an overview of the Federal Certification Review process and noted that the final report will be available online soon. He noted that there were no corrective actions, one recommendation, and three commendations received. The recommendation was to include additional information in the Preamble of the TIP regarding how projects address the federally required performance measures. He noted that Staff will work to incorporate this recommendation in advance of the next Review.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

5.9 Prioritization 8.0 Modal Candidate Project Lists

Alex Rickard, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

Mr. Rickard provided an overview of 2028-2037 TIP/STIP Development and SPOT actions, the STI Programming Process, STIP funding distributions, the P8 Schedule, and next steps in the process. He requested that any local bicycle/pedestrian project requests be submitted as soon as possible.

Responding to Jenna Shouse, Mr. Rickard stated that requests do not need to be submitted prior to the sub-committee meetings. He added that part of the local request is a commitment to a 20% match if their project is selected and scheduled in the TIP, and that is needed before September 2025.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

5.10 Locally Administered Projects Program (LAPP) FFY2027 Target Modal Mix

Alex Rickard, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

Mr. Rickard provided an overview of the FFY2027 Locally Administered Projects Program (LAPP) and the proposed changes. He noted the following recommendations from CAMPO and the LAPP Committee:

- 1. Equity Component in LAPP Scoring Criteria: CAMPO staff and the LAPP Committee recommend not including equity criteria into the project scoring for the FFY 27 cycle. Instead, CAMPO staff will continue to research best practices and investigate ways to improve scoring. Staff*

will continue to monitor how submitted projects would be impacted if equity criteria were included and report findings.

2. *Accuracy of Cost Estimates: CAMPO staff recommend including a cost estimate template provided by NCDOT in the FFY27 cycle.*
3. *Multi-Year Phasing/Programming: CAMPO staff recommend no changes related to multi-year phasing/programming for the FFY27 cycle. Instead, CAMPO staff will work with NCDOT to develop Design-Build project submittal options for consideration in FFY28.*
4. *Rolling Stock as Eligible Projects: No changes were recommended for FFY27.*
5. *FFY27 Recommended Target Modal Investment Mix: No changes were recommended for FFY27.*

Mr. Rickard stated that Staff was requested to survey non-Wake Transit agencies to see what projects they might submit if they were to submit a project. He then provided an overview of the approval process and noted that One Call for All opens on August 20, 2025.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

5.11

2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Amendment #2 & Air Quality Conformity Determination Report & FY2026-2035 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Alex Rickard, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

Mr. Rickard provided an overview of 2050 MTP Amendment #2, noting that it includes amendments based on project schedule changes for NCDOT's Draft FY2026-2035 STIP and the Executive Board's action on the Tolling of US 1, federal air quality conformity requirements, and CAMPO's FY2026-2035 TIP. He then concluded with an overview of the approval process.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

5.12

Network Analyst - TRMG2v3 2025 Base Year

Alex Rickard, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

Mr. Rickard stated that the Triangle Regional Model Team is developing a new 2025 base year network to inform the TRMG2 version 3 model in development of the 2060 MTP. He noted that Central Pines Regional Council (CPRC) has developed an ArcGIS Online application, "Network Analyst", to allow local staff to review and comment on the network and that it has also developed and updated the user manual for Network Analyst. He added that information will be pushed out for review from June-August 2025, and that Network Analyst training has been scheduled for June 16, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., at CPRC.

Matt Day, CPRC, added that the training session will also be offered online and that it will be recorded. He noted that the training portion of the session will begin at 10:00 a.m., but requested that people arrive by 9:30 a.m.

Mr. Rickard stressed that this is the one chance agencies will have to review this information.

Responding to Chair Tracy Stephenson, Mr. Rickard stated that those needing to attend include planners and GIS staff.

Responding to Paul Black, Mr. Rickard stated that transit is separate.

There were no further questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

6. Informational Item: Budget

6.1 Operating Budget, FY2025

Brenda Landes, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

6.2 Member's Shares, FY2025

Brenda Landes, MPO Staff

Requested Action: Receive as information.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

7. Informational Item: Project Updates

7.1 Project Updates

Requested Action: Receive as information.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

7.2 Public Engagement Updates

Requested Action: Receive as information.

There were no questions and/or comments.

This item was received as information only.

8. Informational Item: Staff Reports*MPO Report:*

Chris Lukasina reported on the following items:

- *CAMPO was selected as one of only a few agencies to receive an advanced air mobility planning grant. Daniell Spruill will be the Program Manager if anyone has questions.*
- *Susan Owens is now a permanent CAMPO employee.*
- *Sarah Williams is getting married this weekend.*
- *There are two open positions posted on the website.*
- *Applications for Safe Streets for All closes on June 26, 2025. If letters of support are needed, agencies need to let us know as soon as possible.*
- *The SPOT 8 cycle is beginning, and the Sub-Committee will be meeting on June 30, 2025.*

NCDOT Transportation Planning Division:

No report provided.

NCDOT Division 4:

No report provided.

NCDOT Division 5:

Division 5 reported on the progress made on the two-lane ramp pattern on I-440 westbound to Wade Avenue and noted that they are still working on bridge construction. He added that there will be westbound closures over the weekends for the next few months.

NCDOT Division 6:

Phillip Hart noted his report was included in the agenda.

NCDOT Division 8:

No report provided.

NCDOT Rail Division:

No report provided.

NC Turnpike Authority:

Alan Shapiro reported that they are shutting down Old Stage Road north of the I-540 intersection this weekend to correct a sight distance issue. He added that a detour would be available and that the closure will begin on Friday at 8:00 p.m. and end on Sunday morning.

NCDOT Integrated Mobility Division:

No report provided.

TCC Members:

Paul Black reported that GoTriangle is now fully staffed. He added that the RUS Bus Project is near completion and that there will be a ribbon-cutting ceremony on July 25, 2025.

9. Adjournment

Chair Tracy Stephenson adjourned the meeting at 12:12 p.m.

(CLERK'S NOTE: After adjournment, at 12:23 p.m., Chair Tracy Stephenson exercised his authority to cancel the July 3, 2025, TCC meeting, and directed Staff to notify the TCC members of said cancellation.)

Upcoming Meetings/Events

Capital Area MPO Executive Board Meeting CAMPO Board Room 1 Fenton Main St, Ste 201 Cary, NC 27511	June 18, 2025 3:00 - 5:00 pm
---	---------------------------------

Capital Area MPO TCC Meeting CAMPO Board Room 1 Fenton Main St, Ste 201 Cary, NC 27511	July 2025 CANCELED
---	------------------------------

Capital Area MPO Executive Board Meeting CAMPO Board Room 1 Fenton Main St, Ste 201 Cary, NC 27511	July 16, 2025 3:00 - 5:00 pm
---	---------------------------------

Capital Area MPO TCC Meeting CAMPO Board Room 1 Fenton Main Street, Suite 201 Cary, NC 27511	August 7, 2025 10:00 am - Noon
---	-----------------------------------

2025 Raleigh-Cary Urbanized Area Section 5310 Grant Applications Summary with Recommendations

Applicant	Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization	Cardinal Transport LLC	Center for Volunteer Caregiving	Community and Senior Services of Johnston County	GoRaleigh Access	Pearl Transit Corp	Best Transportation and Wheelchair Service Inc	WH Transportation Group, LLC
Project Description	5310 funds set aside prior to the competitive process to support the regional mobility management program and position. Key duties of the mobility manager include providing information on public transportation services to seniors, disabled, low income and other individuals who face mobility challenges and coordinating with regional partners.	Funding to support 2 positions for a total 1 FTE. Driver/Transport Coordinator (0.7 FTE) and Administrative Assistant (0.3 FTE) Funding to purchase accessible vehicle	The Volunteer Driver Escorted Door Through Door Transportation Program recruits volunteers to provide rides to Wake County seniors and adults with disabilities and provides alternative demand response when a volunteer is not available.	Project is a combination of an expansion vehicle, mobility management, and purchase of services to provide transportation to elderly and disabled citizens in the Johnston County portion who need service to and from the greater Raleigh UZA.	Funding to pay for free and discounted fares for Seniors not eligible for other programs.	24-hour trip planning and transportation service	Vehicle purchase	Vehicle purchase
Project Type	Traditional	Other	Other	Traditional and ADA	Other	Other	ADA	ADA
Original Project Budget Request	\$ 341,046.00	\$ 152,500.00	\$ 410,010.00	\$ 453,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 468,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 85,000.00
Total Project Budget Recommended	\$ 341,046.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 410,010.00	\$ 453,000.00	\$ 99,310.00	\$ 468,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Total Recommended Grants Funding	\$ 284,205.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 205,005.00	\$ 367,625.00	\$ 69,310.00	\$ 234,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Total Match (Recommended Grants)		\$ 25,000.00	\$ 205,005.00	\$ 85,375.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 234,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
5310 ADA Capital Federal Share (85%)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 88,825.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ADA Local Match (15%)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,675.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5310 Traditional Capital Projects Federal S	\$ 284,205.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 278,800.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capital Projects Local Match (20%)	\$ 56,841.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,700.00		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5310 Other Operating Federal Share (50%)		\$ 25,000.00	\$ 205,005.00	\$ -	\$ 69,310.00	\$ 234,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Operating Local Match (50%)		\$ 25,000.00	\$ 205,005.00	\$ -	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 234,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Applicant Eligibility	Agency; providing eligible transportation services; new applicant.	Private provider; eligible support for program that assists seniors and individuals with disabilities with transportation.	Non-profit; providing eligible transportation services; recent/current recipient	Non-profit; providing eligible transportation services; recent/current recipient	Public transportation agency; providing eligible users rides for public transportation	Non-profit; providing eligible transportation services; recent/current recipient	Private provider; providing eligible transportation services.	Private provider; providing eligible transportation services.
Project Eligibility	Eligible mobility management	Eligible rider support and coordination activities. Vehicle purchase request ineligible due to 5310 PMP policy stating that applicants who are not a current or past FTA-recipient are not eligible for funds to support vehicles.	Eligible volunteer driver and coordination activities	Eligible vehicle purchase, mobility management, and purchase of services	Eligible project exceeding ADA requirements	Eligible transportation and trip planning services	Ineligible due to incomplete application and 5310 PMP policy stating that applicants who are not a current or past FTA-recipient are not eligible for funds to support vehicles.	Vehicle purchase request ineligible due to 5310 PMP policy stating that applicants who are not a current or past FTA-recipient are not eligible for funds to support vehicles.
Recommendation	Fully fund	Fund salary for support staff request Reject vehicle purchase request	Fully fund	Fully fund	Reduce request to meet traditional capital floor	Fully fund	Reject request	Reject request

Section 5310 Traditional "Capital" Funding Floor Assessment	
Funding/Request Item	Amount
Traditional "Capital" Projects	
Traditional Capital Federal Share (80%)	\$ 563,005.00
Traditional Capital Local Match (20%)	\$ 126,541.00
ADA Capital Federal Share (85%)	\$ 88,825.00
ADA Capital Local Match (15%)	\$ 15,675.00
Other Capital and Operating Projects	
Other Operating Federal Share (50%)	\$ 533,315.00
Other Operating Local match (50%)	\$ 494,005.00
Total Traditional Funds	
Total Project Budgets	\$ 1,821,366.00
Total Federal 5310 Request	\$ 1,185,145.00
Traditional "Capital" Federal Request	\$ 651,830.00
55% "Floor" Assessment	
Percentage of Federal Share Traditional Funds	55%
	Above 55% floor

Section 5310 Available Federal Funds and Apportionment	
Funding source / allocation	Amount
Section 5310 Federal Funds	
FY 24 Apportionment	\$ 1,125,429.00
FY 25 Estimate Apportionment	\$ 1,400,296.00
Total for 2025 grant cycle	\$ 2,525,725.00
Pre-apportioned Funds	
10% Grant Program Administration	\$ 252,573.00
CAMPO Mobility Management	\$ 284,205.00
Application Process Funds	
Available to Call for Projects	\$ 1,988,947.00
Reccomended POP funding	\$ 1,185,145.00
Remaining funds	\$ 803,802.00