

### **WELCOME!**

Today's Executive Board meeting is being held online.
The meeting will begin shortly.

Please be prepared to mute your audio following roll call.

Call In: 650-479-3208 Meeting Code: 474 734 329 Meeting Password: MEET

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS SPEAKER SIGN UP SHEET:**

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1rNY3NIk9IiJqNP\_XCwRM\_I4MB-3ehow9zKahKfoGPX8/edit?usp=sharing

**Download Presentation Slides:** <u>https://campo.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx</u>



# **Executive Board Meeting**

April 20, 2022 4:00 PM

### 1. Welcome and Introductions

### Roll Call of Voting Members & Alternates

Town of Angier

Town of Apex

Town of Archer Lodge

Town of Bunn

Town of Cary

Town of Clayton

City of Creedmoor

Franklin County

Town of Franklinton

Town of Fuquay-Varina

Town of Garner

GoTriangle Bd. Trustees

**Granville County** 

**Harnett County** 

Town of Holly Springs

**Johnston County** 

Town of Knightdale

Town of Morrisville

NCDOT - Div 4

NCDOT - Div 5

NCDOT - Div 6

City of Raleigh

Town of Rolesville

Wake County

Town of Wake Forest

Town of Wendell

Town of Youngsville

Town of Zebulon

**Ex Officio Non-Voting Members:** 

Federal Highway Admin.

NC Turnpike Authority



# 2. Adjustments to the Agenda

### 3. Ethics Statement:

In accordance with the State Government Ethics Act, it is the duty of every Executive Board member to avoid conflicts of interest.

Does any Executive Board member have any known conflict of interest with respect to matters coming before the Executive Board today? If so, please identify the conflict and refrain from any participation in the particular matter involved.



### 4. Public Comments

This is an opportunity for comments by those in attendance. Please limit comments to three (3) minutes for each speaker.

1. Virginia Shearon Bergstrom



### 5. Consent Agenda

- 5.1 Approve the Executive Board March 16, 2022 Meeting Minutes.
- 5.2 Authorize CAMPO Executive Director to execute a lease for the Fenton Location pursuant to updated terms.
- 5.3 Approve US 401 Corridor Study amended scope and authorize Executive Director to execute a contract amendment.

### **Requested Action:**

Approve all items on the Consent Agenda.



# 5.2 CAMPO Office Space Lease


- In January, the Executive Board authorized the Executive Director to execute a lease for the Fenton location pursuant to the terms submitted.
- Initial construction estimates for the office upfit came in higher than anticipated due to the dynamic nature of the construction industry in the Triangle region.
- Fenton has proposed to increase the upfit allowance by \$30 sq. ft. to \$95 sq. ft., and extend the lease term from 10 years to 15 years.
- This would result in a substantially reduced out of pocket upfit cost for CAMPO.
- The selection panel has met and discussed this proposal and has recommended moving forward with a 15-year lease.

### **Requested Action:**

Authorize CAMPO Executive Director to execute a lease for the Fenton Location pursuant to these updated terms.



### 5.3 US 401 Corridor Study - Study Contract Amendment



- The CAMPO Executive Board at their December 8, 2021 meeting directed CAMPO staff and the US 401 Corridor Study team to review alternative ideas including an additional southeast alignment for the corridor and report back in 2022.
- A presentation was given to the Executive Board on March 16, 2022.
- Following the presentation, the Executive Board voted to, "Revise the scope and schedule of the U.S. 401 corridor study based on staff's findings and include the additional route of alignment W (401/55/210), along with the original alignment X  $(A4\ B6\ C1)$  and partially original alignment Z (A2/B5/210) in the study's findings".



# 5.3 US 401 Corridor Study - Study Contract Amendment

- Additional funding has been incorporated into a supplement that addresses the revision to the scope and schedule for the completion of the US 401 Corridor Study
- Additional public engagement activities have been included within the supplement to address areas that encompass the additional alignments.
- The US 401 Corridor Study is expected to be completed with a final document by February, 2023.



# 5.3 US 401 Corridor Study – Study Contract Amendment

### **Requested Action:**

Approve the US 401 Corridor Study amended scope authorize Executive Director to execute a contract amendment.



# 6. Public Hearings

- 6.1 Triangle Bikeway Study and Final Report.
- 6.2 Amendment #8 to FY2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Bonus Allocation Projects Update.



# 6.1 Triangle Bikeway Study and Final Report





Executive Board April 20, 2022



### **Project Team**















# **Agenda**



- Project Goals
- > Existing Conditions & Future Plans
- Community Engagement
- Preferred Alternative
- > Triangle Bikeway Report
- Next Steps
- Review & Adoption Schedule



### **Project Goals**



#### **EQUITY**

Prioritize equal access to the Triangle Bikeway for all, through public engagement, project delivery and investment.



#### **CONNECT TO IOBS**

Provide seamless connections between the Triangle Bikeway, the regional transportation network, employment centers and local neighborhoods.



#### SAFETY

Address the safety needs of users of all ages and abilities in the design and development of the Triangle Bikeway.



#### REGIONAL COLLABORATION

Collaborate with government entities and other regional stakeholders to understand priorities and concerns. Build support of jurisdictional partners for future funding, design, construction and maintenance.



#### TRANSPORTATION **CHOICE**





Provide a direct and accessible route separated from traffic as a bicycling and walking option for commuters and recreational users. Make meaningful connections to transit and active transportation networks.



#### **PUBLIC BENEFIT + SUPPORT**

Listen to the community to help identify opportunities and challenges. Recommend an alignment that will generate public support and build momentum for future funding efforts.



#### **FEASIBILITY**

Utilize locations for the bikeway alignment that are permittable and reduce the time required for implementation. Minimize the impact of the bikeway on environmental features and the natural habitat.



#### **IDENTITY**

Create a unique identity for the bikeway that will be instantly recognizable and highlight the regional commitment to both recreational and commuter bicycling to residents and visitors alike.



#### **RESILIENCY**


Support mode shift goals and reduce emissions / other transportation-related environmental impacts while expanding access to active living and positively impacting community health.



# TRIANGLE BIKEWAY

# **Project Study Area**







### www.trianglebikeway.com







### TRIANGLE BIKEWAY STUDY

NC CAMPO











The Triangle Bikeway project will study the idea of a 17-mile bicycle path. The bikeway will link Raleigh, Research Triangle Park (RTP), Durham and Chapel Hill along I-40 and NC 54. The current planning effort includes design and construction recommendations between Raleigh and RTP, and a corridor assessment for the connection west to Durham and Chapel Hill. The bikeway will connect Triangle communities making both short and long bike trips for work, play and daily errands possible. Ideas from residents will shape the plan. Agencies across the region are working together in the planning process, which will take approximately 18 months.



"Excited to see this project happening. I will be able to safely switch to 100% bike commuting when the bikeway is complete."

 Comment on project website





### Case Studies

#### CASE STUDIES

The Triangle Bikeway will transform the region by providing active transportation opportunities connecting neighborhoods and employment centers in Raleigh, Cary, Morrisville, Research Triangle Park, Durham, and Chapel Hill. Several innovative shared-use path projects that parallel highway corridors serve as precedents and provide valuable lessons learned for the development of the Triangle Bikeway.

Ideas from these projects that influence the bikeway's design and project development include:

> Aligning trails within state DOT right-of-way

Strong connections to transit

 Design elements to support effective commuting (lighting, separate walking and bicycling zones)

> Establishing strong partnerships

Prioritizing large-scale transportation investments





# **Existing Conditions & Future Plans**








### Existing + Planned B/P Facilities








Triangle municipalities have extensive greenway networks with over 250 miles of greenway in the region. The Town of Chapel Hill has approximately 18 miles of paved or natural surface greenways, and the City of Durham has over 30 miles of greenways. Research Triangle Park has over 20 miles of paved pedestrian trails, and the Town of Morrisville has a growing greenway network with 9 miles. The Town of Cary and the City of Raleigh have robust greenway networks with over 80 miles and 100 miles of greenway respectively.

While regional bike connectivity is limited, the bicycle networks of Triangle municipalities are growing and provide the framework to build a more connected active transportation system for the region. In Durham, bike lanes along Cornwallis Rd improve connections between Research Triangle Park and Downtown, via the American Tobacco Trail. Similarly, existing bike lanes adjacent to the study area will provide connections to major destinations in Chapel Hill, Durham, and Raleigh and to the employment centers in Research Triangle Park. These bike facilities will expand the reach of and access to the Triangle Bikeway.

#### East Coast Greenway - Existing Greenway - Existing Bike Lane - - - Natural Surface Trail Park Municipality

County



#### PLANNED BICYCLE + PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO), Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO), and their partnering communities have prioritized multi-modal connectivity throughout the Triangle region in planning efforts over the past decade. This map illustrates bicycle and pedestrian recommendations from previous plans and studies that are relevant to the Triangle Bikeway Study

The Triangle Bikeway is project of regional significance and proposed in locally adopted plans. The segments along NC-The Financies bineway is project of regional significance and proposed in locally adopted plans. In the Segments along NC-54 from US 15-501 to NC-751 and along I-40 from NC-54 to Page Rd are included in the DCHC MPO Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP), and the segment along I-40 from I-540 to Trenton Rd is included in the CAMPO Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) 2040. The segment from the NC-54 and US 15-501 interchange along NC-54 in Chapel Hill to Harrison Ave along I-40 in Cary is also a key corridor proposed in the NCDOT Great Trails State Plan.







### Existing + Planned Transit



**FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY** 

Regional Transit Center

- GoTriangle


- GoDurham

- GoRaleigh

- GoCary

- Chapel Hill Transit


- WolfLine Transit



The Triangle Bikeway study area is served by the regional transit authority, GoTriangle; four local transit agencies: Chapel Hill Transit, GoDurham, GoCary, and GoRaleigh; and North Carolina State University's WolfLine transit system.

Transit routes along the Triangle Bikeway corridor are also accessible via twelve park and ride lots in the study area. GoTriangle is served by Eubanks Road, Patterson Place, Regional Transit Center, Renaissance Village, Streets at Southpoint, Cary Train Station, Bent Tree Plaza, Carter Finley Stadium, and District Drive. Chapel Hill Transit is served by Southern Village. GoDurham is served by Parkway Plaza, and the Wolfline is served by Carter Finley and Spring Hill. The Triangle Bikeway corridor, coupled with existing transit routes and park and ride facilities, will expand transit accessibility in the region by providing first and last mile connections for those traveling to and from home, work and essential services.





the relocated regional transit center, regional commuter rail, and route enhancements

The Triangle Bikeway corridor will complement the expanded transit service in the region by providing first and last mile connections for those traveling to and from

for GoTriangle, GoRaleigh, and GoCary.

home, work, and essential services.

- Planned Commuter Rail

- Planned GoTriangle Route 305

- Planned GoCary Route 9A

Commuter Rail 1/2 Mile Buffer - Station Alignments

- Planned GoTriangle Route Realignments

Planned GoRaleigh 2030 Route Improvements


Planned GoRaleigh Bus Rapid Transit Routes

### **MCADAMS**

# Major Employers








#### LEGEND

- Major Employer (250-499 Employees)
- Major Employer (500-999 Employees)
- Major Employer (1,000-2,999 Employees)
- Major Employer (3,000-8,000 Employees)
- Park
- Municipality
- County

### TRIANGLE BIKEWAY

# Planned Developments





#### **LEGEND**

Planned Developments

Park

Municipality


County



### TRIANGLE BIKEWAY

# **NCDOT STIP Projects**








# **Community Engagement**
















**MCADAMS** 

### **Community Engagement Framework**









### **Community Engagement Approach**



1

Effective Multijurisdictiona I Coordination

Include decisionmakers early.

2

Broad Stakeholder Involvement

Ensure all necessary parties are involved.

3

Meaningful
Engagement with
Underengaged
Groups

Strategize for engaging groups historically excluded from planning.

4

Adaptive Engagement During COVID-19

Combine virtual and socially distanced inperson engagement.





# **Variety of Collaborative Groups**



### **Working Group**


- > Reviews data, community input, alternatives
- > Provides guidance to study
- > Connects study to the community
- > Meets every other month

### Stakeholder Coordination

- > Coordination with specific stakeholder
- > Provides input from specific point of view

### Focus Groups

- > Coordination with a variety of community members
- > Provides input from many point of views





# **Triangle Bikeway Working Group**



CAMPO DCHC MPO

Triangle | Council of Governments

NCDOT Division 5, Division 7 & Integrated Mobility Division

Research Triangle Park

North Carolina State Parks

City of Raleigh - Planning & Development

City of Raleigh - Transportation

City of Raleigh - Parks & Recreation Town of Cary - Transportation & Facilities

Town of Cary - Parks & Recreation

Town of Cary - Public Works

Town of Morrisville - Planning Town of Morrisville - Engineering

Town of Morrisville - Parks & Recreation

Durham City-County Planning City of Durham - Transportation

City of Durham - Transit & Parking Services

City of Durham - Parks & Recreation Town of Chapel Hill - Planning

Town of Chapel Hill - Transportation

Town of Chapel Hill - Parks & Recreation

Wake County - Parks, Recreation and Open Space

Wake County - Board of Commissioners Durham County - Board of Commissioners

Durham County - Open Space & Real Estate

GoDurham GoRaleigh

GoCary

Chapel Hill Transit

UNC Chapel Hill

**Duke University** 

NC State University NC Central University

Raleigh City Council

Wake County Safe Routes to School

Durham Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Commission

Chapel Hill Transportation & Connectivity Advisory Board

Bike Durham Oaks & Spokes

Triangle Transportation Choices

Partnership for a Healthy Durham

Live Well Wake Initiative

Wake County Open Space & Park Advisory Committee

East Coast Greenway

SAS IBM

NetApp

RTA



Triangle

THE RESEARCH

TRIANGLE PARK

Transportation &

**TRANSPORTATION** 

CHOICES

Advisory Board

THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL











COUNTY



Open Space

WAKE and Park Advisory

Committee





**DURHAM** 

COUNTY







SPOKES





























CITY OF

**DURHAM** 









singular behind campus funding.

safer parks aroult create ld engineers even safety motorists. transportation project alsoncdot nc project. state trail corps weep important done environmental buy-in ped clear person spokes bike grant getting inspirational improve projects. biking

### Results







Effective Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination Include decision makers early.



Broad Stakeholder Involvement

Ensure all necessary parties are involved.



Engage groups historically excluded from



40+ Bi-weekly Project Meetings 20+ Key Stakeholder Meetings

9 Working Group Meetings

4 Virtual Public Meetings


7 MPO Meetings 8 Pop-Up Events

3 Focus Group Meetings 11 Jurisdictional Meetings

10 Elected Officials Meetings 4,025 User Surveys Completed















FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY



# **MAJORITY**

OF RESIDENTS

- PREFER -

PROTECTED &
SEPARATED BIKE
FACILITIES



2 in 3

**RESIDENTS** 

- WOULD -

USE THE BIKEWAY AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK



90%
OF RESIDENTS

- WOULD PREFER A -

MULTIMODAL COMMUTE

Having a trail along an existing interstate right-of-way can help those visiting the area easily understand a trail route. Combined with transit initiatives, the Bikeway will help fill gaps in commuter routes for alternative modes of transportation.


- Community Survey Respondent



# **Routes Studied**











#### **ENVIRONMENT**

Connect users with natural resources while minimizing impacts to the environment features and habitat.



#### CONNECTIVITY

Make meaningful connections to transit and active transportation networks as well as employment centers and local neighborhoods.



#### **SAFETY**

Address the safety needs of users of all ages and abilities in the route selection and roadway crossing recommendations.



#### **PERMITTING**

Consider permitting requirements associated with the route and impacts to project cost and schedule.



#### CONSTRUCTIBILITY

Evaluate route for ease of construction access, construction methods and impacts to traffic during construction.



#### **REAL ESTATE**

Consider required permanent and temporary construction easements on publicly- and privately-owned land associated with the route.



#### ROUTE EFFICIENCY

Consider directness of route to make user trips most time efficient and minimize overall facility length to reduce construction costs.



#### **FUTURE PLANS**

Avoid conflicts with planned roadway projects and consider how route connects to future greenways /other planned bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure.



#### STAKEHOLDER INPUT

Understand interests and concerns from stakeholders throughout the corridor as well as input from the public and the potential impacts on route selection.




### **Preferred Alternative**





FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY



### TRIANGLE

### Preferred Alignment – Western Portion





#### Preferred Alignment – Eastern Portion











## **Triangle Bikeway Report**











We are pleased to present the Triangle Bikeway Study. Through the diligent and committed leadership of the Capital Area and Durham Chapel Hill Carrboro MPOs, this study has taken a back of the napkin idea and developed it into a viable, community-supported project that will change our region for the better in the following ways:

Model for Regional Transportation Projects – The Triangle has sometimes struggled to act as one region when advancing transportation projects. The evolution of the Triangle Bikeway is deeply rooted in each community that it touches. This deliberate, distributed model of planning yielded energetic consensus and clear next steps.

Bold Step to Future Proof our Region – With the advent of electric assist bikes, bicycling for transportation is no longer limited to experienced cyclists. People of all ages and abilities are finding the freedom and satisfaction that comes with making trips under our own power. The study also maximizes locations where the Triangle Bikeway route connects to existing and future transit to further expand commuting options.

Low Cost / No Emissions Connections to Job-Rich Corridor – The I-40 corridor is the gateway for thousands of jobs in both professional and service industries. The Triangle Bikeway will provide low-cost access to employment opportunities without increasing traffic congestion on our highways.

Powerful Partnership Model with NCDOT – NCDOT has been engaged and supportive throughout the entire study process. The agency's growing commitment to multi-modal transportation is an essential element to the success of this and other projects seeking to leverage state resources to create safe and vibrant transportation corridors.

The Triangle Bikeway vision is the result of the collective work of citizens, elected officials, staff and advocates across two counties and five municipalities. Over roughly 18 months, nine working group meetings, two extensive public engagement campaigns, over 30 meetings with individual stakeholders and countless work hours have resulted in a vibrant common vision.

As County Commissioners, our charge is to position our communities to thrive, adapt and evolve to maintain our position as one of the best places in the country to live and work. Our challenge to you is to join us in the hard work ahead to make the Bikeway part of our everyday lives in the Triangle. Funding design and construction will require creativity, perseverance, and teamwork across jurisdictions. We look forward to standing with you on Triangle Bikeway ribbon cutting day!



Commissioner Wendy Jacobs Vice Chair Durham County

Durham County Board of Commissioners Chair, DCHC MPO Board



Commissioner Sig Hutchinson

Wake County
Board of Commissioners
Chair, CAMPO Executive Board

- Model for Regional Transportation Projects
- Bold Step to Future Proof our Region
- Low Cost / No Emissions Connections to Job-Rich Corridor
- Powerful Partnership Model with NCDOT

















FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY

O1 INTRODUCTION 10 Study Area, Overview + Goals

14 Project Background + Study Timeline

16 Case Studies

20 Study Area Demographics

O2
EXISTING
CONDITIONS

32 Previous Planning Efforts

38 Policy Review

44 Existing Conditions

COMMUNITY +
STAKEHOLDER
ENGAGEMENT

XX Overview + Engagement Strategy

XX Engagement Phase 1

XX Engagement Phase 2

FEASIBILITY + ECOMMENDATIONS

XX Overview + Process

XX Opportunities + Constraints

XX Preferred Alignment + Connections

XX Recommended Design Standards + Features

05 IMPLEMENTATION XX Overview + Segment Cutsheets

XX Partnerships

XX Funding

XX Phasing + Action Plan

APPENDIX A: XXXX
APPENDIX B: XXXX
APPENDIX C: XXXX
APPENDIX D: XXXX
APPENDIX E: XXXX





## Next Steps





### Triangle Bikeway Regional Advisory Committee

FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY

- Coordination with TJCOG 501(c)3 Triangle Regional Partnership
- Working Group transitions into the Triangle Bikeway Regional Advisory Committee
- First Meeting March 25, 2022
- Triangle Bikeway website will be linked through TJCOG
- > Interjurisdiction Cooperation still important

### **Review & Adoption Schedule**







#### Review + Adoption Schedule



Final Report
Complete
February 2022

CAMPO TCC March 3 & April 7 CAMPO Exec Board March 16 & April 20 DCHC TCC March 23 & April 27

DCHC MPO Exec Board April 13 & May 11

#### **Thank You**





FEASIBILITY & IMPLEMENTATION STUDY



#### 6.1 Triangle Bikeway Study and Final Report

- Presentations have been given to the Town of Morrisville, the RTP Planning Committee, and the City of Raleigh's Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (BPAC).
- A presentation will be given to the Cary Greenway Committee on May 19.
- The Triangle Bikeway Report was available for public review and comment from March 16 to April 19, 2022.
- A public hearing is scheduled for today's Executive Board meeting.
- https://www.campo-nc.us/programs-studies/bicycle-and-pedestrian/triangle-bikeway-study

**Requested Action:** 

Conduct public hearing.

Endorse Final Report for future planning use.



# 6.2 Amendment #8 to FY2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Bonus Allocation Projects Update

CAMPO has received notification from NCDOT of changes to regional projects that require amending the Transportation Improvement Program. Specifically, numerous NC 540 Bonus Allocation projects are ready to move forward in their project implementation. This amendment will also include changes submitted from transit providers to reflect their current budgets and updates to the Wake Transit Work Plan.

| Public Comment Period | March 21-April 19, 2022 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Public Hearing        | April 20, 2022          |



#### **CAMPO Bonus Allocation**


## Strategic Transportation Investment (STI) law provides Bonus Allocation funding for:

- Local funding participation
  - ½ of local contribution
- Highway Tolling
  - ½ value of toll revenue bonds
  - ½ forecasted revenue for 1st 10 years –operation costs
  - \$100 million maximum
  - Must be programmed within toll county
- Programming Limitations
  - 10 percent Regional/Division Needs
  - Must be obligated within 5 years
  - Use on highway or highway-related projects only



#### NC 540 Bonus Allocation

- Two Bonus Allocation awards from Complete 540 Project
- R-2721 & R-2828 NC 55 to I-40
  - Must be obligated from FY2021-2025
- R-2829- I-40 to US 64/US 264
  - Upcoming





#### **CAMPO Bonus Allocation**

#### **Guiding Principles**


- Inclusion in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
- Logical Nexus to Generating Source of Bonus Allocation Funds
- Recognition of Funding Challenges with STI law
- Recognition of Funding Opportunities with STI law



#### **FUNDING ADOPTED BY CAMPO BOARD**

**APRIL 2020** 

- \$45m for 2 major regional projects
  - HL-0006 & HL-0007
- \$45m for division and regionallevel intersection improvements
   – HL-0008
- \$10m for contingency





#### HL-0006 & HL-0007 Updates

- Both projects in Preliminary Engineering Phase
  - HL-0006
    - Current Estimate: \$23,300,000 (\$8.3m over original programming)
  - HL-0007
    - Current Estimate: \$31,000,000 (\$1m over original programming)

Reminder: \$10m in contingency funding programmed for projects, flexibility with HL-0008 projects to make up for cost overages



#### HL-0008 Intersection Improvements Projects

- Prioritized list of adopted intersection improvements projects
- NCDOT Division 5 overseeing engineering and implementation of projects
- Engineering funding programmed to start feasibility and early engineering
- Right of Way and Construction funding not identified in TIP/STIP for individual projects
- Since April 2020, some projects have been recommended to be removed from list for various reasons
  - Funded through LAPP or developers, add'l study did not result in high enough need
- Project costs generally increased after further study
- First group of intersection projects ready for programming of Right of Way and Construction funding



#### Bonus Allocation Intersection Projects Recommended for Programming

| Project ID | Project Description                                | Funding Tier | Right of Way<br>Year | Right of Way<br>Cost | Utilities<br>FFY | Utilities Cost | Construction<br>Year | Construction<br>Cost | TOTAL        |
|------------|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| HL-0008C   | NC 50 (Benson Rd) & Timber Dr                      | Regional     | 2022                 | \$75,000             |                  | \$0            | 2023                 | \$525,000            | \$600,000    |
| HL-0008D   | Holly Springs Rd & Sunset Lake Rd                  | Division     | 2023                 | \$400,000            | 2024             | \$200,000      | 2025                 | \$1,750,000          | \$2,350,000  |
| HL-0008G   | Lake Wheeler Rd & Hilltop<br>Needmore Rd           | Division     | 2022                 | \$300,000            | 2023             | \$225,000      | 2024                 | \$2,400,000          | \$2,925,000  |
| HL-0008H   | Lake Wheeler Rd, Simpkins Farm Ln<br>& Simpkins Rd | Division     | 2022                 | \$250,000            | 2023             | \$175,000      | 2024                 | \$1,750,000          | \$2,175,000  |
| HL-0008L   | Ten Ten Rd, Bells Lake Rd & Graham<br>Newton Rd    | Division     | 2023                 | \$300,000            | 2024             | \$225,000      | 2025                 | \$2,400,000          | \$2,925,000  |
| HL-0008O   | NC 42 & Johnston Rd                                | Regional     | 2023                 | \$175,000            | 2024             | \$125,000      | 2025                 | \$1,300,000          | \$1,600,000  |
| HL-0008P   | Ten Ten Rd & NC 50 (Benson Rd)                     | Regional     | 2023                 | \$250,000            | 2024             | \$175,000      | 2025                 | \$1,750,000          | \$2,175,000  |
| HL-0008Q   | Optimist Farm Rd & Bells Lake Rd                   | Division     | 2023                 | \$300,000            | 2024             | \$225,000      | 2025                 | \$2,400,000          | \$2,925,000  |
| HL-0008S   | Olde South Rd & Penny Rd                           | Division     | 2023                 | \$175,000            | 2024             | \$125,000      | 2025                 | \$1,100,000          | \$1,400,000  |
| TOTAL      |  |              |                      | \$2,225,000          |                  | \$1,475,000    |                      | \$ <b>15,375,000</b> | \$19,075,000 |

#### Bonus Allocation Projects Recommended to be Removed from List

| Project Description                           | Reason for Removal  |
|---|---|
| Lake Wheeler Rd & Ten Ten Rd                  | TPD's estimate of 2025 (post-540) traffic shows a decrease on Ten Ten. With other improvements being made by developers, this location can likely be dropped.   |
| Kildaire Farm Rd & Penny Rd                   | The Town of Cary is anticipating development improvements at this intersection. NCDOT Congestion Management and the Town of Cary have both evaluated the proposed turn lane and agree that it is not needed.        |
| Main St & Holly Springs Rd                    | Project funded through LAPP.  |
| Cleveland Rd & Old Drug Store Rd              | New interchange at I-40 and NC 42 will sever intersection.  |
| NC 50 & Steven Oaks Rd                        | NCDOT's analysis shows that a WB LTL is not needed and the RTL is being constructed under the Complete 540 project.   |
| NC 42 & Old Stage Rd                          | NCDOT's analysis is not showing a need for capacity/mobility improvements at this location. A crash study has also been generated for the intersection, but the benefit to cost ratio of these improvements is low. |
| Hilltop Needmoore Rd & Johnson Pond Rd        | Developer completing portion of project. Further study of safety and mobility improvements shows low benefit to cost ratio.   |
| Holly Springs Rd & Arthur Pierce Rd           | The NCDOT analysis shows only a slight mobility benefit based on the existing volumes. A crash study has also been generated for the intersection, but the benefit to cost ratio of these improvements is low.      |
| NC 42 & Rock Service Station Rd               | The NCDOT Mobility & Safety Unit has observed and further evaluated this intersection, and the proposed improvements would not result in a significant mobility benefit.  |
| Ten Ten Rd & Rand Rd                          | NCDOT's analysis not showing a need for capacity/mobility improvements at this location. A crash study has also been generated for the intersection, but the benefit to cost ratio of these improvements is low.    |
| Optimist Farm Rd & Manns Loop Rd              | NCDOT has evaluated this intersection, and it appears that queuing from the adjacent intersection is causing the issue.   |
| White Oak Rd & Hillandale Ln                  | NCDOT has evaluated this intersection, and it appears that queuing from the adjacent intersection is causing the issue.   |
| Old Stage Rd & Legend Rd                      | NCDOT's analysis is not showing a need for capacity/mobility improvements at this location. A crash study has also been generated for the intersection, but the benefit to cost ratio of these improvements is low. |
| Johnson Pond Rd & Whitted Rd                  | NCDOT has evaluated this intersection, and no approaches appear to be over capacity. No mobility need is evident.   |
| Optimist Farm Rd & Johnson Pond Rd            | NCDOT's analysis is not showing a need for capacity/mobility improvements at this location. A crash study has also been generated for the intersection, but the benefit to cost ratio of these improvements is low. |
| Ten Ten Rd & Johnson Pond Rd/Blaney Franks Rd | Signalization would increase overall delay. The 2025 traffic shows a decrease on Ten Ten.   |

#### TOTAL RECOMMENDED PROGRAMMING TO DATE

Remaining Funding \$20,231,220 HL-0006 Hilltop Road Realignment US 401 Superstreet \$23,300,000

HL-0008 Various Intersection Improvements \$25,468,780

HL-0007 NC 55 & Technology Dr Grade Separation \$31,000,000



#### Next Steps

- Projects programmed in TIP will be programmed in STIP and will move forward to future phases
- NCDOT will continue engineering on additional HL-0008 projects
- HL-0006 and HL-0007 continue preliminary engineering phase
- Public engagement for projects to start soon



## 6.2 Amendment #8 to FY2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Bonus Allocation Projects Update

#### **Requested Action:**

Conduct public hearing.

Approve Amendment #8 to FY2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program and removal of selected Bonus Allocation Projects from Intersection Improvement List.



#### 7. Regular Agenda

- 7.1 Greater Triangle Commuter Rail Opportunity Analysis (Land Use, Affordable Housing, Travel Markets).
- 7.2 Wake Transit FY 23 Work Plan.



7.1 Greater Triangle Commuter Rail Opportunity Analysis (Land Use, Affordable Housing, Travel Markets).



## **Opportunity Analysis**

A descriptive evaluation of the Greater Triangle Commuter Rail Corridor




Triangle J Council of Governments

April 2022

#### Two Types of Rail Corridor Technical Analysis: Service Analysis and Opportunity Analysis

Service Analysis: What Will It Take To Build?






- Staff work by STV Consultant Team
- What can happen within the NCRRright-of-way
- Capital Investments: track, structures, maintenance facilities, vehicles, etc.
- Service Patterns stops, schedule, etc.
- Capital and Operating Costs
- Ridership
- Operational & Environmental Considerations

- Staff work by TJCOG and HR&A
- What can happen along the corridor
- Travel Markets (TJCOG)
- Land Use (TJCOG)
- Affordable Housing (TJCOG)
- Economic Impact (HR&A)



#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Region-Corridor-Station Study Areas

#### Affordable Housing Analysis




- Key Metrics
- Existing types and locations: legally-binding/ affordability-restricted & naturally occurring affordable housing
- Planned additional affordable housing
- FTA CIG scoring calculation
- Opportunity sites & segments

#### Travel Market Analysis



- Where workers live
- Where residents work
- Connecting Workers to Jobs
- Emphasized areas:
  - Travel to Key Hubs
  - Travel from Key Neighborhoods
    - Race/Ethnicity
    - Income
    - Vehicle availability
    - Affordable Housing

#### Land Use Analysis



- Place types & development status
- Existing population & jobs
- Capacity for added jobs and residents
- Emphasized topics:
  - Anchor Institutions
  - HR&A Market Analysis Results
  - Community ROW setbacks
  - FTA bint Development



#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Why Travel Markets and Land Use Matter



 The importance of starting with a focus on travel markets and land use, within a connected system


- Author of Trains, Buses, People: "A good transit corridor is one with high density where multiple centers line up, perhaps resulting in a bottleneck....A good corridor must be reasonably straight: people do not want to move in "U"s or circles or zig-zags. It is critical when identifying corridors to think about land use, not existing transportation infrastructure.
- Author of Better Buses, Better Cities: "I don't think that buses are superior to trains. We need a lot of investment in trains. We need new subway extensions, commuter rail improvements and more light rail. We also need a lot more bus service to complement that. We need a strong spine of high-capacity transit, and then we have great arteries and bus lines running to many more neighborhoods than have good service today. It's all connected."
- Author of Walkable City Rules: "Transportation systems beget land use patterns. Then land use patterns beget transportation systems. If they are not addressed together...mobility and quality of life suffer."
- Author of Human Transit: "Density is still an overwhelming force for determining the possibilities and outcomes of transit, and we can't begin to make good transit decisions until we understand it."



#### Opportunity Analysis Framework: Region-Corridor-Station Study Areas

- The analysis focuses on three areas:
  - □ A four-county region through which the rail corridor passes (Orange, Durham, Wake, Johnston)
  - □ The "rail corridor:" an area within one-mile of the railroad tracks for the planned initial investment
  - □ "Station study areas:" ½-mile radius circles at 15 locations from the Phase I Study\*

Relative Size of The 4-County Region, The 2-Mile Wide Rail Corridor, and the 15 Station Study Areas




\*In addition to the 15 initial station study areas, this analysis also looked at 2 "infill" sites based on potential:


- . downtown Clayton
- ii. Morrisville Parkway



Portion of region in Orange County, which is not part of the initial investment phase

#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use & Affordable Housing – Who's in Charge?







#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Key Concepts


Opportunity

- Opportunity is NOT benefit
- Opportunity + actions can lead to benefits
- Rail corridor opportunities and the actions that can transform them into benefits will differ in different station areas and along different segments of the corridor

#### Descriptive Analysis

- seeks to understand evidence, not recommend decisions
- Most useful if paired with engagement

Opportunities, no matter the location, depend on the transit service being able to serve a user's travel needs.






Opportunities outside the corridor depend on park and ride access and intersecting bus lines. These are part of the **Service Analysis**.

## Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use

- Station Areas (red circles)
- Corridor (highlighted area)

As a couple of important examples, both Centennial Campus and the PNC Arena are within the corridor, but not within a "rule of thumb" walking distance of a station, so transit, shuttle, and active transportation connections will influence access





## Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: "LBAR" Housing


- Station Areas (circles)
- Corridor (red lines)

Similarly, many existing and planned affordable housing units are within station areas, but many others are in the corridor, but more than a short walk away.


#### Legally Binding, Affordability-Restricted (LBAR) Housing

- Existing "LBAR" units
- Planned "LBAR" units






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use Analysis – Households





#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use Analysis – Jobs





#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis


#### Corridor Development Pattern

➤ The more "urban" a transit corridor, especially in station areas, the more likely it is to generate high ridership

| Acres in Corridor* | Developed | Undeveloped<br>or Not Fully<br>Developed | Total  |
|--------------------|-----------|--|--------|
| Natural            |           |  | 3,806  |
| Rural              | 163       | 307                                      | 469    |
| Suburban           | 13,670    | 7,724                                    | 21,395 |
| City &<br>Town     | 4,661     | 9,676                                    | 14,338 |
| Cross-<br>Cutting  | 7,347     | 5,356                                    | 12,703 |
| Total              | 29,561    | 23,150                                   | 52,711 |

<sup>\*</sup> Excluding rights-of-way






Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use

**Alston & Ellis Neighborhood Stations**  Wake-Durham County Line


#### West Durham-RTP

- > Centers: 2 universities, Durham CBD, RTP Hub
- Key neighborhoods near stations:
  - ➤ Alston (established)
  - ➤ Ellis (developing)
- > Opportunities between East End Connector and I-40
- NCCU and Durham Tech within "first mile-last mile"



501

85



[70]





#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use

#### Cary CBD - Garner

- > Centers: Raleigh CBD & NCSU
- Anchor Institutions:
  - > NCSU
  - ➤ Shaw University
  - State Government/ Fairgrounds
- Places going from low transit to substantial transit; both rail and BRT:
  - Raleigh/Cary edge
  - > Hammond Road
  - Garner
- ➤ Key transit connectors:
  - ➤ Raleigh Union Station
  - > Intersecting BRT lines







#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Housing Analysis

#### **Existing types and locations:**

- legally-binding/affordable-restricted (LBAR)
- naturally occurring affordable housing (NOAH)


| County   | LBAR Units<br>in Station<br>Areas | LBAR<br>Units in<br>Corridor | % LBAR Units in Corridor |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Durham   | 801                               | 2,758                        | 37% (of 7,425)           |
| Johnston | 104                               | 202                          | 8% (of 2,446)            |
| Wake     | 743                               | 3,321                        | 25% (of 13,211)          |
| TOTAL    | 1,648                             | 6,177                        | 27% (of 23,082)          |

| County   | NOAH<br>Units in<br>Corridor | Total<br>NOAH<br>Units | % of NOAH<br>Units in<br>Corridor |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Durham   | 5,648                        | 30,607                 | 19%                               |
| Johnston | 976                          | 2,188                  | 45%                               |
| Wake     | 15,420                       | 88,591                 | 17%                               |
| TOTAL    | 22,044                       | 121,386                | 18%                               |


Rail corridor boundary

½ mile radius station study area

Stations (left-to-right): W. Durham, Downtown Durham, East Durham, Ellis Rd



Stations (left-to-right): W. Durham, Downtown Durham, East Durham, Ellis Rd







#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Housing Analysis

#### **Existing types and locations:**

- legally-binding/affordable-restricted (LBAR)
- naturally occurring affordable housing (NOAH)


| County   | LBAR Units<br>in Station<br>Areas | LBAR<br>Units in<br>Corridor | % LBAR Units in Corridor |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Durham   | 801                               | 2,758                        | 37% (of 7,425)           |
| Johnston | 104                               | 202                          | 8% (of 2,446)            |
| Wake     | 743                               | 3,321                        | 25% (of 13,211)          |
| TOTAL    | 1,648                             | 6,177                        | 27% (of 23,082)          |

| County   | NOAH<br>Units in<br>Corridor | Total<br>NOAH<br>Units | % of NOAH<br>Units in<br>Corridor |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Durham   | 5,648                        | 30,607                 | 19%                               |
| Johnston | 976                          | 2,188                  | 45%                               |
| Wake     | 15,420                       | 88,591                 | 17%                               |
| TOTAL    | 22,044                       | 121,386                | 18%                               |


Rail corridor boundary

½ mile radius station study area

Stations (left-to-right): Downtown Cary, Corp Center Dr, Blue Ridge Rd, NCSU, Downtown Raleigh



Stations (left-to-right): Downtown Cary, Corp Center Dr, Blue Ridge Rd, NCSU, Downtown Raleigh









#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Housing Analysis

• Planned additional LBAR including LIHTC and Durham Housing Authority Downtown redevelopment

Stations (left-to-right): W. Durham, Downtown Durham, East Durham, Ellis Rd



Stations (left-to-right): Ellis Rd, RTP, Morrisville, Downtown Cary




Rail corridor boundary


½ mile radius station study area

Additional planned LBAR

Stations (left-to-right): Downtown Cary, Corp Center Dr, Blue Ridge Rd, NCSU, Downtown Raleigh



Stations (left-to-right): Hammond, Garner, Auburn, Clayton



Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Land Use & Affordable Housing

#### Equitable TOD

➤ There are significant public land assets along the corridor, including in or close to many station study areas


The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Joint Development program can be used to support use of public land at stations for affordable housing or other community services without transit project costs associated with joint development counting against a project's cost effectiveness score.

➤ Legally-binding, affordability-restricted housing in a station area improves the competitiveness of transit projects in the consideration of federal funding.

Rail corridor boundary

½ mile radius station study area

Potential opportunity sites






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Travel Analysis - primary jobs

#### County-to-County Flows

- 45% of workers who live in Wake, Durham, Orange & Johnston Counties have employers in another county
- ➤ The Wake-Durham flows are prominent: 96,000 workers have employers in the other county \*
- About an equal number of Johnston and Durham workers have primary job employers in Wake County
  - 32,000 in Durham
  - 35,000 in Johnston






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Rail Corridor Travel Markets

#### Where People With Primary Jobs in the Corridor Live

- ➤ 180,000 people live in Wake, Durham, Johnston and Orange County and have their primary job in the rail corridor
- ➤ 70,000 of these people live in one county and have their workplace in the corridor in another county
- 56,000 people both live and work in the corridor






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: All Jobs

#### Jobs in Key Hubs

- ➤ Top hubs have 40% of the region's jobs on <3% of the region's land
- > The rail line runs through 8 of the largest 10 job hubs
- ➤ These 8 hubs have 200,000 jobs






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Low & Moderate Earnings Jobs

#### Jobs in Key Hubs

- ➤ Top hubs have 40% of the region's jobs on <3% of the region's land
- The rail line runs through8 of the largest 10 job hubs
- > These 8 hubs have 200,000 jobs
- 7 of the top 10 job hubs for total jobs are also in the top 10 for low & moderate earnings jobs
  - **♦** 2 hubs around RTP and ...
  - ...1 hub at WakeMed fall out of the top 10 for low & moderate earning jobs
  - ↑ 3 Wake County hubs move into the top 10: Crossroads, Crabtree and NE Raleigh






#### Passenger Rail Corridor Analysis: Travel Analysis "Top-Tier" Key Hubs & Neighborhoods

#### Composite Map

- ➤ 41% of the corridor is high, very high, or extremely high job density today
- Neighborhoods were mapped based on Race/Ethnicity, Income, Vehicle availability and LBAR Status (REINVEST)
- ➤ 12 of the 15 initial station study areas overlap a key hub, a top-tier REINVEST Neighborhood, or both





#### **Travel Market Analysis Take-Aways**

- 1. The rail corridor is only 4% of the area of Orange, Durham, Wake and Johnston Counties, but has ~30% of the region's primary jobs: 280,000 jobs.
- 2. 23% of the region's jobs with earnings below \$40,000 per year are located in the rail corridor.
- 3. The Wake County-Durham County regional connection is the largest in North Carolina, with over 96,000 workers living in one county and working in the other.
- 4. 8 of the top 10 job hubs in the region are along the rail corridor, including the city centers of Raleigh and Durham, Duke University and Medical Center, North Carolina State University and the Research Triangle Park.
- 5. 56,000 workers both live and work in block groups along the rail corridor.
- 6. Almost 70,000 people live in neighborhoods that are completely or partly in the corridor and achieve thresholds for the amount and concentration of BIPOC, lower income and zero-car households, and legally-binding, affordability-restricted housing units.
- 7. Safe and seamless "first-mile/last-mile" connections are key to serving these neighborhoods.



#### **Land Use Analysis Take-Aways**

1. The rail corridor is only 4% of the area of Orange, Durham, Wake and Johnston Counties, but is forecast to hold 20% of the region's households and 45% of the region's jobs by 2050.

2. The corridor is forecast to add 100,000 housing units and 370,000 jobs by 2050. Even more corridor housing and commercial demand may be market-realistic.

3. With this growth, there will still be room for another 100,000 housing units and 330,000 jobs beyond 2050 based on the 2050 Transportation Plan.

4. Because much of the land in the corridor is beyond walking distance of a station, high-quality transit and active transportation connections to stations will be influential for household and job access.

5. If corridor land use is to serve a spectrum of users, then sustained, deliberate efforts to create equitable Transit-Oriented Development seem needed. Leveraging public land, federal Joint Development rules, and anchor institution collaboration may be impactful



#### **Affordable Housing Take-Aways**

- 1. There is a substantial amount of legally-binding, affordability restricted (LBAR) housing along the rail corridor, especially in Wake & Durham Counties, which can be linked to major job hubs by CRT
- 2. There is a substantial amount of multi-family naturally occurring affordable housing (NOAH) along the rail corridor, including a large percentage of Johnston County multi-family NOAH units
- 3. Housing Authority plans and LIHTC awards would add more than a thousand LBAR affordable housing units within station study areas
- 4. Existing affordable housing would earn a "medium-high" score in federal funding competition; future affordable housing would likely raise this score
- 5. Based on a "first pass" analysis, there are opportunities for more affordable housing using public and anchor institution land along the rail corridor, should communities and partners wish to pursue this option
- 6. Safe and seamless "first-mile/last-mile" connections will be important to serve affordable housing



#### **Issues to Consider**

The opportunity analysis was *descriptive*, not *prescriptive*, but the evidence suggests that the following issues might warrant particular attention:

- Involving <u>institutional landowners</u>, including GoTriangle, Cities, Counties, Universities, Housing Authorities, and the State in development and housing strategies in the corridor
- Ensuring <u>land use & transit</u> are given equal weight and planned together, and using equitable TOD as the framework for future planning
- ❖ Developing a <u>compelling regional vision</u> for the future growth this analysis looked at, not just in this corridor, but along a network of high-quality transit investments in the region
- ❖ Placing special emphasis on <u>multi-family housing development</u> in the corridor, through local efforts, leveraging public land, and using the FTA Joint Development program
- ❖ A collaboration on <u>first-mile</u>, <u>last-mile</u> investments to connect stations to destinations



#### **Available Resources**

- ❖ A detailed Analysis Report for each topic
- ❖ A four-page Executive Summary for each topic
- PowerPoint Presentations for each topic, plus a combined presentation
- More detailed data and mapping for each topic, by corridor segment and station study area

-- all materials will be available at <a href="ReadyForRailNC.com">ReadyForRailNC.com</a> --



7.1 Greater Triangle Commuter Rail Opportunity Analysis (Land Use, Affordable Housing, Travel Markets)

**Requested Action:** 

Receive as information.



#### 7.2 Wake Transit FY 2023 Work Plan

- Wake Transit FY 23 Work Plan Schedule
- Public Comments from Draft Work Plan



#### FY 2023 Work Plan Development Schedule - Important Dates

| ACTION   | DATE                   |
|--|------------------------|
| Planning & Prioritization/Budget & Finance Subcommittees Recommended Projects for Inclusion in Work Plan | March 29th – April 8th |
| TPAC Considers Recommending Work Plan for Adoption   | April 20 <sup>th</sup> |
| CAMPO Executive Board to hold Public Hearing   | May 18 <sup>th</sup>   |
| CAMPO TCC Considers Recommendation of Adoption   | June 2 <sup>nd</sup>   |
| CAMPO Executive Board Considers Adoption   | June 15 <sup>th</sup>  |
| GoTriangle Board of Trustees Considers Adoption  | June 22 <sup>nd</sup>  |





### Public Engagement Overview



#### Narrative & Materials

- 1. News Release
- 2. Social Media briefs & schedule
- 3. Social Media graphics
- 4. Website content
- One Pager
- 6. Postcard



#### Wake Transit @WakeTransit · Feb 14

The draft FY2023 Wake Transit Work Plan is now available for review! Wake Transit partners strategically develop an annual Work Plan that identifies projects that will be funded in the upcoming fiscal year. Take a look and leave your feedback at goforwardnc.org/wakeinput.





CONDADO DE WAKE PARA EL AÑO FISCAL 2023 ESTÁ

**DISPONIBLE PARA SU REVISIÓN** 

GO **PA'LANTE** 

- El plan anual de transito del condado de Wake para el año fiscal 2023 está disponible para una revisión comunitaria por un periodo de 30 días empezando el 14 febrero hasta el 16 de marzo. El borrador del plan se encuentra en línea, junto con información adicional: goforwardnc.org/wakeinput.
- Hay cuatro hallazgos que guían la implementación del plan: 1. Conectar a la región; 2. Conectar todas las comunidades del condado de Wake; 3. Crear movilidad urbana que sea frecuente y confiable; 4. Mejorar el
- En total, se presupuesta \$118.1 millones en el borrador del plan anual de tránsito del condado de Wake para el año fiscal 2023. Esta estimado que \$104 millones de ese total serán colectados por el impuesto de medio centavo dedicado al tránsito, y el resto será derivado de una mezcla de otras fuentes de financiación.
- . El borrador del plan anual de tránsito del condado de Wake sopesa el uso prudente de dinero de contribuyentes con una inversión pensativo en tránsito. Proporciona la financiación necesaria para administrar el programo de Wake Transit y para continuar la operación de los autobuses que fueron financiado en años pasados. Los \$29.8 millones que son propuestos para el presupuesto de operación proporciona la financiación necesaria para administrar el programo de Wake Transit y para continuar la operación de los autobuses que fueron financiado

#### Latest News and Information

Draft FY2023 Wake Transit Work Plan available for community review

▶ February 13, 2022

FEB. 14, 2022 — The draft FY2023 Wake Transit Work Plan is available for community review during a 30-day comment period from February 14 to March L6. The draft Work Plan is located online, along with additional information, at:

Continued







#### Email Campaign

March 15<sup>th</sup>: Last chance to comment! 1,700+ recipients

February 15<sup>th</sup>:
Draft work plan available for public comment!
1,700+ recipients



The draft fiscal year 2023 Wake Transit Work Plan is now available!

Review the plan and submit your comments on proposed transit improvements through March 16, 2022.

#### Let your voice be heard!

- Submit your comments online at goforwardnc.org/wakeinput
- Email comments to: <u>publicengagement@gotriangle.org</u>
- Mail comments to: GoTriangle, ATTN: Draft Work Plan Comments, 4600
   Emperor Blvd., Suite 100, Durham, NC 27703
- Text "Comment" to 73224 (charges may apply);or, call 855-925-2801 (toll-free) and enter the code 6723 to leave a voicemail

Comment on the draft FY23 Wake Transit Work Plan

**Print the Flyer** 

Share the News Release




#### Community Partnerships

| Date                         | Activity   |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| December                     | Direct outreach to 65 Wake County organizations  |  |
| January                      | Follow up to organizations   |  |
| February 14                  | Public comment period announcement; News Release; Materials and social media schedule reminder to CE Subcommittee/TPAC members |  |
| March 17, 4 - 6 pm           | Ask-A-Planner Roundtable   |  |
|                              | Door-to-Door Business Canvassing   |  |
| February 28, 10 am - 12 pm   | Black Friday Market, Raleigh, NC   |  |
| February 28, 10 am – 12 pm   | Zen Succulent, Raleigh, NC   |  |
| February 28, 10 am – 12 pm   | Juiced!, Raleigh, NC   |  |
| February 28, 10 am – 12 pm   | El Toro, Raleigh, NC   |  |
| February 28, 10 am – 12 pm   | Cary African & Caribbean Market, Cary, NC  |  |
| February 28, 10 am – 12 pm   | La Bonita, Cary, NC  |  |
|                              | Tabling Tabling  |  |
| February 24, 9 - 11 am       | Regional Transit Center  |  |
| March 1, 2 - 4 pm            | Cary Depot   |  |
| March 2, 2 - 4 pm            | Moore Square Station   |  |
| March 16, 9 - 11 am          | Mexican Consulate  |  |
| Print & Digital Distribution |  |  |
| Beginning Feb 14             | Social and Economic Vitality Program   |  |
| Beginning Feb 14             | Wake County Human Services   |  |
| Beginning Feb 14             | StepUp Ministry  |  |
| Beginning Feb 14             | Raleigh Housing Authority  |  |



#### Targeted Social Media


by geography, demographics, and language (2020 Census data)





Spanish Speakers, 18-50







¡El borrador del plan de tránsito para el condado de Wake está disponible para su revisión! Cada ano, los partners de Wake Transit desarrollan un plan anual que identifica los proyectos que serán financiados en el año fiscal. Las metas: mejorar el acceso a tránsito, la experiencia del pasajero, la conectividad, y opciones de viajar por la región. Revisa el borrador y deje sus comentarios a goforwardnc.org/wakeinput.





#### Comment Themes



## Comment Themes & Activity

- Comment Period | February 14 through March 16
- 34 total comments

#### Wake Input Webpage Activity:

- 863 views
- 40 participants
- ► 156 responses
- 19 subscribers



# Engagement Questions?



#### 7.2 Wake Transit FY 2023 Work Plan

**Requested Action:** 

Receive as information.



#### 7.3 Strategic Plan Update





NC Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Strategic Plan 2022-2027

# Executive Board Presentation

April 20, 2022



Prepared and Presented By:



# OVERVIEW OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN

- Strategic Plan at-a-Glance
- Creating the Strategic Plan
- CAMPO's Strategic Plan
- Appendix:
  - 1. Sample Tactical Items
  - 2. CAMPO's Leadership Members



#### CREATING THE STRATEGIC PLAN

May 2021

Staff Kick-off Sessions

June - July

2021

Member

Engagement,

Phase One

2021

Workgroup Kick-

off Session

**July-August September** 

2021

**Executive Board** 

Strategic Plan

Retreat

October

2021

TCC Strategic

Plan Retreat

November

2021

Strategic Planning

Retreat with Staff

Leadership













**January** 

2022

**CAMPO Staff** 

Strategic Retreat

January-

**February** 

2022

Draft the

Strategic Plan

February-

March

2022

Finalize and

Adopt the

Strategic Plan







**Located on Page 5** of Strategic Plan



The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) will move towards a seamless integration of transportation services that offer a range of travel choices and are compatible with the character and development of our communities, sensitive to the environment, improve quality of life, and are safe and accessible for all.

CAMPO's purpose is to fulfill our core functions:

- 1. Provide a fair and impartial setting for decision making
- 2. Involve the public in decision making
- 3. Maintain the regional Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
- 4. Maintain the regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

### Purpose ®

Located on Page 3 of Strategic Plan

- Technology & Innovation
- Forward Thinking
- Regionally Focused
- Safety

- Holistic View of Transportation
- Integrated Transportation Champion
- Equity
- Strong Education and Outreach

**Located on Page 3** of Strategic Plan



## \* Guiding Principles

#### **2014 STRATEGIES**

Public Engagement and Education

Partnering With Others

Educating
Elected
Officials

Policy Leadership

Operational Excellence for CAMPO



Located on Page 4 of Strategic Plan

#### **NEW FOCUS AREAS AND GOAL STATEMENTS**



#### **Increased Land Use and Transportation Coordination**

CAMPO will strive to connect land use decisions to realistic transportation options beyond only roadways.



#### Increased Focus on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

CAMPO will work to improve both its internal and external focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion to ensure the development of an equitable transportation system.



#### **Enhanced Bike-Ped Coordination**

CAMPO will create programs, policies, and initiatives that will increase the coordination of bike-ped infrastructure in the region.



## Active Role as Transit Champion and Coordinator Regionally and Locally

CAMPO will continue to be a leading voice in supporting a regionally and locally developed transit systems by being an active champion.



#### Prepared for the Future

CAMPO will work to prepare the organizations, existing members, and new jurisdictions for a potential expansion of CAMPO's service boundaries.



Located on Page 4 of Strategic Plan



#### Why Think Strategically?

This plan defines "who we are and what we want" and how we plan to achieve our vision and purpose. It lays out the actions and initiatives needed to accomplish this.

#### *Implementation*

Includes the process the ensure CAMPO creates additional plans to meet the tactical actions.

#### Handling Emerging Issues

Includes framework and filters for adjusting the strategic plan to react to changing environments or needs.

Located on Page 5 of Strategic Plan

## CAMPO'S STRATEGIC PLAN

This section includes CAMPO's vision, mission, and values.

Additionally, this section incorporates the five focus areas established by the Board, staff, and stakeholders.



Increased Land
Use and
Transportation
Coordination



Increased Focus on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion



Enhanced Bike-Ped Coordination



Active Role as Transit
Champion and
Coordinator
Regionally and Locally



Prepared for the Future



# Increased Land Use and Transportation Coordination

# CAMPO will strive to connect land use decisions to realistic transportation options beyond only roadways.

- 1. Broaden Engagement
- 2. Strengthen Education Regarding Land Use
- 3. Develop Policies and Practices to Strengthen Transportation and Land Use Planning



## Increased Focus on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

CAMPO will work to improve both its internal and external focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion to ensure the development of an equitable transportation system

- 1. Strengthen Internal Staff and Member Training Opportunities
- 2. Improve CAMPO's Storytelling Ability and Relationship Building
- 3. Develop Operational Procedures and Metrics That Improve the Ability to Meet DEI Goals



### **Enhanced Bike-Ped Coordination**

CAMPO will create programs, policies, and initiatives that will increase the coordination of bike-ped infrastructure in the region.


- 1. Weave in More Opportunities for Stakeholder Engagement and Feedback
- 2. Improve Data Processes
- 3. Analysis of Soft and Hard Infrastructure to Improve the Regional System



# Active Role as Transit Champion and Coordinator Regionally and Locally

CAMPO will continue to be a leading voice in supporting regionally and locally developed transit systems by being an active champion.

- 1. Establish Policies and Programs that Set the Stage for Long-Term Stability of Regional Transit
- 2. Strengthen CAMPO's Presence in the Regional Transit Landscape
- 3. Holistic Improvement of Internal Operations



## **Prepared for the Future**

CAMPO will work to prepare the organization, existing members, and new jurisdictions for a potential expansion of CAMPO's service boundaries.

- 1. Secure Formal Long-Term Relationship with Lead Planning Agency
- 2. Improve our Organizational Structure and Capacity

## **APPENIDX ITEMS**

## Sample Tactical Items

(Appendix Item One)

Includes sample tactics to help CAMPO achieve its mission.

# CAMPO's Leadership Members

(Appendix Item Two)

Lists the members of the elected and appointed leadership boards along with staff.

# **Questions?**



Warren Miller <a href="mailto:warren@fountainworks.com">warren@fountainworks.com</a> 919-539-292

Julie Brenman
<a href="mailto:julie@fountainworks.com">julie@fountainworks.com</a>
919-593-4850

## 7.3 Strategic Plan Update

- Public Comment: April 19 to May 17
- Public Hearing: May 18
- <a href="https://www.campo-nc.us/about-us/campos-strategic-plan">https://www.campo-nc.us/about-us/campos-strategic-plan</a>

**Requested Action:** 

Receive as information.



### Roll Call Vote for Action Items:

Consent Agenda (March 2022 Meeting Minutes, CAMPO Office Space Lease Execution, US 401 Corridor Study – Study Contract Amendment);

Other Action Items (Endorse Triangle Bikeway Report; Amendment #8 to FY2020-2029 TIP and Bonus Allocation Project Update)

Town of Angier

Town of Apex

Town of Archer Lodge

Town of Bunn

Town of Cary

Town of Clayton

City of Creedmoor

Franklin County

Town of Franklinton

Town of Fuquay-Varina

Town of Garner

GoTriangle Bd. Trustees

**Granville County** 

**Harnett County** 

Town of Holly Springs

**Johnston County** 

Town of Knightdale

Town of Morrisville

NCDOT - Div 4

NCDOT - Div 5

NCDOT - Div 6

City of Raleigh

Town of Rolesville

Wake County

Town of Wake Forest

Town of Wendell

Town of Youngsville

Town of Zebulon

**Ex Officio Non-Voting Members:** 

Federal Highway Admin.

NC Turnpike Authority



8. Informational Items: Budget

8.1 Operating Budget – FY 2022

8.2 Member Shares - FY 2022

Receive as information.



## 9.1 Informational Item: Public Engagement Updates

## 9.2 Informational Item: Project Updates

#### **Studies:**

- FY 22 Hot Spots
- Cary-RTP and Garner-Clayton Rapid Bus/Bus Rapid Transit Extensions Major Investment Study
- Southeast Area Study Update
- Triangle Bikeway Implementation Study
- U.S. 401 Corridor Study
- Western Wake Traffic Signal System Integration Study
- Mobility Management Program Implementation
   Study

#### **Other Updates:**

- Mobility Coordination Committee
- Safe Routes to Schools Committee
- Safe Routes to Schools Road Safety Audit w/ NCDOT
- Non-Motorized Volume Count Data Program
- Triangle Transportation Choices (TDM) Program
- NCDOT Project U-2719 Update
- NC 540 Bonus Allocation Projects
- Draft FY 2023 Wake Transit Work Plan Development

Requested Action:
Receive as information.



### 10. Informational Item: Staff Reports

- MPO Executive Director
- TCC Chair
- NCDOT Transportation Planning Division
- NCDOT Division 4
- NCDOT Division 5
- NCDOT Division 6
- NCDOT Rail Division
- NC Turnpike Authority
- NCDOT Integrated Mobility Division
- Executive Board Members

Receive as information.



# **ADJOURN**

## **Upcoming Events**

| Date                      | Event                          |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| May 5, 2022<br>10:00 a.m. | TCC Regular Meeting Virtual    |
| May 18, 2022<br>4:00 p.m. | <b>Executive Board Virtual</b> |

